

DAVID J. BERGER, State Bar No. 147645  
 CATHERINE E. MORENO, State Bar No. 264517  
 THOMAS J. MARTIN, State Bar No. 150039  
 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI  
 Professional Corporation  
 650 Page Mill Road  
 Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050  
 Telephone: (650) 493-9300  
 Facsimile: (650) 565-5100  
 Email: tmartin@wsgr.com

PAUL CHAVEZ, State Bar No. 241576  
 ROBIN GOLDFADEN, State Bar No. 208055  
 LAWYERS' COMMITTEE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS  
 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA  
 131 Steuart Street, Suite 400  
 San Francisco, CA 94105  
 Telephone: (415) 543-9444  
 Facsimile: (415) 543-0296  
 Email: pchavez@lccr.com

JULIA HARUMI MASS, State Bar No. 189649  
 JINGNI (JENNY) ZHAO, State Bar No. 284684  
 ALAN L. SCHLOSSER, State Bar No. 49957  
 AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION  
 OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA, INC.  
 39 Drumm Street  
 San Francisco, CA 94111  
 Telephone: (415) 621-2493  
 Facsimile: (415) 255-8437  
 Email: jmass@aclunc.org

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
**SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

UELIAN DE ABADIA-PEIXOTO, *et al.*,  
 Plaintiffs,  
 v.  
 UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF  
 HOMELAND SECURITY, *et al.*,  
 Defendants.

Case No.: 3:11-cv-4001 RS

**CLASS ACTION**

**[PROPOSED] FINAL ORDER AND  
 STIPULATED DISMISSAL**

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(e), and in accordance with the settlement agreement (“Agreement”) entered into by all parties on December 18, 2013, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED as follows:

1. This Final Order and Dismissal adopts and incorporates by reference the terms and definitions of the Agreement submitted as Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Catherine E. Moreno, filed with Plaintiffs’ Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement (Dkt. 203).
2. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question), 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202 (declaratory relief), and 5 U.S.C. § 706 (waiver of sovereign immunity). The Court has personal jurisdiction over the Plaintiff Class (as defined in the Court’s order granting Plaintiffs’ Motion for Class Certification (Dkt. 52)), the Settlement Class (as defined in paragraph 5 herein), and Defendants.
3. The Notice of Proposed Settlement (the “Notice,” in the form attached as Exhibit C to the Agreement, was directed to members of the Settlement Class in accordance with the Preliminary Approval Order (Dkt. 208), dated January 23, 2014, and (a) constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances and (b) was reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise Class members of the pendency of the Settlement and the release of rights contained in the Agreement. Pursuant to, and in accordance with, Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court hereby finds that the Notice provided members of the Class due and adequate notice of the Settlement, the Agreement, these proceedings, and the rights of members of the Settlement Class to object to the Settlement.
4. Pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this Court hereby approves the Settlement as set forth in the Agreement and finds that the Settlement is in all respects fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Settlement Class members. Accordingly, the Settlement shall be consummated in accordance with the terms and provisions of the Agreement, which are incorporated by reference into this Final Order and Stipulated Dismissal.

- 1 5. By its Preliminary Approval Order, dated January 23, 2014, the Court preliminarily  
2 certified the following Settlement Class: All current and future adult immigration  
3 detainees who have or will have proceedings in immigration court in San Francisco  
4 during the term of the Agreement.
- 5 6. The Court finds that this Settlement Class satisfies the requirements of Rule 23 of the  
6 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, including the requirements of numerosity,  
7 commonality, typicality, and adequacy pursuant to Rule 23(a) and at least one of the  
8 requirements of Rule 23(b). The Court hereby certifies the Settlement Class as described  
9 in Paragraph 5 of this Final Order and Dismissal.
- 10 7. The Court approves Uelian De Abadia-Peixoto, Esmar Cifuentes, Mi Lian Wei, and  
11 Pedro Nolasco Jose as Class Representatives for the Settlement Class.
- 12 8. The Court finds that Class Counsel, Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati PC, Lawyers'  
13 Committee for Civil Rights of the San Francisco Bay Area, and the American Civil  
14 Liberties Union Foundation of Northern California, Inc. have fairly and adequately  
15 represented the interests of the Class and satisfied all the requirements of Rule 23(g) of  
16 the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 17 9. Upon the Settlement becoming final in accordance with Section IX of the Agreement, the  
18 parties agree that this action will be DISMISSED.
- 19 10. Without in any way affecting the finality of this Final Order and Stipulated Dismissal,  
20 this Court has continuing jurisdiction as to all matters relating to the interpretation,  
21 administration, and enforcement of the Agreement.
- 22 11. The Court finds that this Final Order and Stipulated Dismissal adjudicates all of the  
23 claims, rights, and liabilities of the Parties to the Settlement, and is intended to be final.

24 IT IS SO ORDERED.

25  
26 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
United States District Court Judge

1 Dated: March 6, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

2 By: /s/ Catherine E. Moreno

Catherine E. Moreno

3 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI

4 *Additional Counsel on Caption Page*

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28